Developing the Holistic Conflict Management Framework for Higher Education

Dax Boatwright [1]

Abstract

This article presents the development of the Holistic Conflict Management Framework (HCMF), an innovative, student-centered co-curricular approach designed to empower learners to address conflict within higher education settings. In alignment with the shared strengths-based principles of Appreciative Education and Appreciative Inquiry, the HCMF promotes positive student development through collaborative dialogue, reflective practice, and relational learning. Moreover, the framework offers a proactive structure for guiding students in managing stress, understanding interpersonal dynamics, and resolving disputes through collaboration, empathy, and reflection. Grounded in Budd and Colvin's (2008) efficiency–equity–voice framework, the HCMF emphasizes prevention, reflection, and relational growth in college students. Learning outcomes include identifying campus resources, developing emotional regulation skills, and applying de-escalation and communication strategies to promote student success and wellbeing.

Keywords

Appreciative Education, Appreciative Inquiry, conflict management, higher education, student development

Conflict is an inevitable part of human interaction, particularly in higher-education environments where varied perspectives, goals, and expectations intersect. In university settings, conflicts among students often emerge from differences in values, ambitions, and interpretations of institutional policies. Studies show that such disputes are frequently rooted in structural and interpersonal complexities that shape campus life (Barsky, 2002). As college students navigate these tensions, effective conflict-management strategies are essential to maintain respectful, productive, and supportive campus communities (Kreiner et al., 2020).

Traditional university approaches often rely on reactive measures such as student conduct processes, ombudspersons, and counseling services, which are typically engaged after conflicts escalate (Karp & Sacks, 2014). The Holistic Conflict Management Framework (HCMF) introduces a proactive, student-centered approach that develops conflict management skills before crises occur. Grounded in Budd and Colvin's (2008) model of efficiency, equity, and voice, the HCMF provides a structure for embedding conflict management within co-curricular programs, leadership development, and classroom learning.

The HCMF integrates three foundational principles of dispute-resolution theory, efficiency, equity, and voice (Budd & Colvin, 2008), with a developmental focus. Together, these pillars inform a proactive approach that situates conflict management as a skill set that benefits student development and can be transferred to students' field of choice post-graduation. This conceptual framework can also complement existing student-support

[1] Florida Atlantic University, Florida, United States of America
Corresponding Author: Dax Boatwright, Email: dboatwright@fau.edu

mechanisms by empowering students to develop awareness, language, and strategies necessary for self-advocacy and community wellbeing.

By fostering emotional intelligence, understanding conflict styles and de-escalation skills, the HCMF positions conflict as a catalyst for building a campus culture that emphasizes empathy and collaboration when conflict among students occurs. The following sections outline the conceptual grounding of the framework, its alignment with Appreciative Inquiry and Appreciative Education, key components, and implications for institutional implementation.

Conceptual Framework

Budd and Colvin (2008) proposed that effective dispute-resolution systems in organizations are best understood through the dimensions of efficiency, equity, and voice. *Efficiency* emphasizes resolving conflict quickly and with minimal resource strain. *Equity* underscores fairness, consistency, and access. *Voice* highlights individuals' ability to express concerns and influence outcomes. When applied to higher education, these dimensions offer a structure for understanding how students can engage constructively with institutional processes.

Adapting the Framework for Higher Education

In adapting Budd and Colvin's framework to higher education, the HCMF transforms these principles into expanding awareness of campus resources that can assist students in addressing conflict on campus, ensuring that all enrolled students have access to this institutional resource, and allowing students to be full participants in learning about conflict management through interactive activities during sessions by using Mentimeter. As such, this conceptual foundation positions the HCMF as a developmental system rather than a disciplinary mechanism. By equipping students with self-awareness, reflection, and communicative competence, institutions can use the HCMF in tandem with existing campus well-being strategies to shift the focus from reactive management of conflict to proactive cultivation of community dialogue.

The Alignment of Appreciative Inquiry and Appreciative Education with the Holistic Conflict Management Framework

The Holistic Conflict Management Framework (HCMF) aligns closely with the foundational principles of Appreciative Inquiry (AI) and Appreciative Education (AE). Both frameworks are grounded in the belief that positive transformation emerges from identifying strengths, fostering connection, and creating shared meaning rather than focusing solely on problems or deficits. Appreciative Inquiry reframes institutional and interpersonal challenges through questions that emphasize possibility asking, "what could be?" instead of "what is wrong?" (Cooperrider & Whitney, 2005; Buyarski, 2021). This approach positions conflict not as a failure of relationships or systems, but as a catalyst for understanding, empathy, and collective growth.

Moreover, Appreciative Inquiry serves as both a philosophical foundation and a methodological complement. The HCMF's emphasis on prevention, reflection, and relational growth garners inspiration from the appreciative process of *Discovery, Dream, Design,* and *Destiny*. By guiding students to explore what works in their conflict interactions and envision improved approaches, the HCMF enacts AI's strengths-based logic at both the student and institutional levels. This orientation aligns with Buyarski's (2021) assertion that AI and Design Thinking share the goal of humanizing higher-education systems through

collaboration, empathy, and creativity. Integrating these perspectives ensures that conflict management initiatives remain not only effective but also affirming and supportive of student success.

The Appreciative Education (AE) framework expands this philosophy into daily educational practice, centering relationships through its six phases—Disarm, Discover, Dream, Design, Deliver, and Don't Settle (Beorchia, 2021; Bloom et al., 2013). Within the HCMF, these phases translate into actionable conflict-management strategies: creating psychological safety (*Disarm*), identifying shared values (*Discover*), envisioning equitable outcomes (*Dream*), co-constructing action plans (*Design*), implementing collaborative solutions (*Deliver*), and maintaining continuous improvement (*Don't Settle*). Each phase parallels a developmental step in conflict competence, encouraging practitioners to teach students how to transform conflict into a learning process. Therefore, the alignment of HCMF with Appreciative Inquiry and Appreciative Education paradigms underscores how higher education institutions can move toward a holistic, human-centered approach to address conflict within a campus community.

Components of the Holistic Conflict Management Framework (HCMF)

The HCMF consists of six interrelated components that collectively enhance students' ability to recognize, understand, and address conflict:

1. **Student Experience** – Each student brings unique social, cultural, and educational backgrounds that influence how they experience and resolve conflict. Recognizing these differences supports supportive and empathetic approaches to student engagement (Kuh, 2009).

2. **Sense of Belonging** – Belonging fosters connection, persistence, and motivation. Students who perceive belonging to their institutions are more likely to engage constructively when conflicts arise (Strayhorn, 2019). Through the HCMF, belonging is promoted by validating students' experiences and creating environments where they feel heard and supported (Liang & Kang, 2024).

3. **Stress Management** – Unmanaged stress often exacerbates conflict. The HCMF emphasizes mindfulness, time management, and self-advocacy as essential tools for minimizing emotional reactivity (Bulo & Sanchez, 2014; Morey & Taylor, 2019).

4. **Emotional Intelligence** – Emotional intelligence enables students to interpret and regulate emotions, improving both academic and interpersonal outcomes (Kastberg & Buchko, 2023). Within the HCMF, internal emotional intelligence (self-awareness and self-management) and external emotional intelligence (social awareness and relationship management) are taught as complementary skill sets.

5. **Conflict Management Styles** – Students are introduced to the five core conflict styles: avoidance, accommodation, compromise, competition, and collaboration (Rahim, 2011). Activities and assessments help students identify conflict management styles and adjust for flexibly across contexts (O'Neill et al., 2024).

6. **De-escalation Techniques** – The HCMF teaches communication practices such as active listening, validation, and non-defensive responses. Training in these techniques helps students maintain composure during conflict (Price & Baker, 2012).

Together, these components prepare students not merely to resolve disputes, but to transform conflicts into opportunities for learning and connection.

Implementation in Higher Education

Institutions can apply the HCMF through several strategic pathways:

- **Leadership and Student Employment Programs:** Embedding HCMF training into resident assistants, peer mentors, and student worker programs supports practical skill application and workplace harmony (Waithaka et al., 2015).

- **Academic Courses and First-Year Seminars:** Integrating HCMF content into leadership, communication, and first-year success courses helps students engage proactively from the outset.

- **Educational Workshops:** Student conduct administrators can transform disciplinary processes into educational experiences emphasizing effective conflict management.

The framework's flexibility allows adaptation across institutional types, reinforcing a culture of proactive communication when conflict arises.

Assessment and Learning Outcomes

Effective implementation of the Holistic Conflict Management Framework (HCMF) requires clearly defined learning outcomes and structured assessment procedures that align with institutional goals and student development priorities. The section below provides a practical approach for designing outcomes and evaluating learning impact through intentional alignment, reflection, and measurement.

Developing Learning Outcomes

When creating learning outcomes for conflict management programs, outcomes should be both developmental and behavioral reflecting not only what students will *know* but also what they will *do* and *become* through the learning process. Learning outcomes should address three interconnected domains:

1. **Cognitive Outcomes** – Students should demonstrate an understanding of fundamental concepts such as conflict styles, de-escalation, communication strategies, and the relationship between conflict and emotional regulation.

2. **Affective Outcomes** – Students should understand the tenets of emotional intelligence (self-awareness, self-management, social awareness and relationship management).

3. **Behavioral Outcomes** – Students should apply conflict management principles to real-world contexts, engaging in reflective practice and self-assessment to reinforce their learning.

To develop measurable outcomes, practitioners should begin by identifying the specific competencies the HCMF seeks to promote such as identifying campus resources that can assist students in addressing a conflict, applying emotional intelligence in real world contexts, and identifying conflict management styles. Each outcome should then be phrased using observable verbs (e.g., *identify, demonstrate, apply, evaluate*) to ensure clarity in both instruction and assessment.

Designing Assessment Procedures

Assessment within the HCMF should follow a cycle of pre-assessment, engagement, and reflection, allowing practitioners to capture growth over time and measure the extent to which learning outcomes have been achieved.

- **Pre-Session Assessment:** Administer brief surveys or reflection prompts before the learning experience to gauge baseline understanding about campus resources, emotional intelligence and conflict management styles.

- **Interactive Learning Engagements:** Use tools such as Mentimeter or other real-time response systems to embed interactive activities within the session. These moments serve as formative assessments, enabling facilitators to adapt instruction and highlight areas requiring reinforcement.

- **Post-Session Reflection and Evaluation:** Conclude each session with structured questions testing the knowledge students learned during the session. This feedback is essential for measuring shifts in understanding the content.

Using Assessment for Continuous Improvement

Assessment results should be used not only to evaluate learning effectiveness but also to refine program delivery and expand institutional integration. Regular analysis of student response data can inform revisions to training materials, enhance learning activities, and align sessions with broader student affairs and academic learning goals.

Conclusion

Conflict management can be integral to fostering supportive and thriving educational environments. The Holistic Conflict Management Framework (HCMF) provides a proactive, equity-driven approach that can support institutional systems with student growth and wellbeing. Grounded in Budd and Colvin's (2008) principles of efficiency, equity, and voice, the HCMF advances a campus culture that prioritizes addressing conflict among students with proactive learning opportunities embedded in various programs and classroom settings on campus. By reframing conflict as an opportunity for connection and understanding, the HCMF contributes to realizing a university culture that values dialogue, reflection, and mutual respect as foundations for academic and personal success.

References

Barsky, A. E. (2002). Structural sources of conflict in a university context. *Conflict Resolution Quarterly, 20*(2), 161–176. https://doi.org/10.1002/crq.16

Beorchia, M. (2021). People before process: Utilizing the Appreciative Education framework to navigate challenges and maximize opportunities. *Journal of Appreciative Education, 7*(2), 43–47.

Bloom, J. L., Hutson, B. L., He, Y., & Konkle, E. (2013). Appreciative education. *New Directions for Student Services*, *2013*(143), 5-18.

Budd, J. W., & Colvin, A. J. S. (2008). Improved metrics for workplace dispute resolution procedures: Efficiency, equity, and voice. *Industrial Relations, 47*(3), 460–479. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-232X.2008.00528.x

Buyarski, C. (2021). Applying appreciative principles to improve systems that serve students. *Journal of Appreciative Education, 7*(2), 62–71.

Bulo, J. G., & Sanchez, M. C. (2014). Sources of stress among college students. *International Journal of Education and Research, 2*(7), 89–98.

Cooperrider, D. L., & Whitney, D. (2005). *Appreciative inquiry: A positive revolution in change.* Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Karp, D. R., & Sacks, C. (2014). Student conduct, restorative justice, and student development: Findings from the STARR project: A student accountability and restorative research project. *Contemporary Justice Review, 17*(2), 154–172.

Kastberg, S. E., & Buchko, A. A. (2023). Emotional intelligence and conflict management: Examining the connection in higher education leadership. *Journal of Leadership Education, 22*(1), 98–116.

Kreiner, G. E., Hollensbe, E. C., & Sheep, M. L. (2020). Where is the "me" among the "we"? Identity work and the search for optimal balance. *Academy of Management Journal, 49*(5), 1031–1057.

Kuh, G. D. (2009). What student affairs professionals need to know about student engagement. *Journal of College Student Development, 50*(6), 683–706.

Liang, H., & Kang, J. (2024). Sense of belonging and student engagement in higher education: Mediating effects of institutional support. *Higher Education Research & Development, 43*(2), 211–226.

Morey, L. C., & Taylor, C. T. (2019). Stress, coping, and adaptation in college students. *Journal of American College Health, 67*(8), 745–753.

O'Neill, T. A., McLarnon, M. J. W., & Carswell, J. J. (2024). Revisiting conflict management: Balancing collaboration and assertiveness in academic teams. *Small Group Research, 55*(1), 32–56.

Price, J., & Baker, S. N. (2012). Effective de-escalation techniques: Reducing workplace conflict in educational settings. *Journal of School Leadership, 22*(4), 734–754.

Rahim, M. A. (2011). *Managing conflict in organizations* (4th ed.). Transaction Publishers.

Strayhorn, T. L. (2019). *College students' sense of belonging: A key to educational success for all students* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Waithaka, E. N., Furniss, T., Gitimu, P., & Sikorskii, A. (2015). Conflict management skills and strategies among student leaders in higher education. *Journal of Leadership Education, 14*(2), 55–73.